An existence theorem for the multifluid Stokes problem.

Y. Demay ^{*}, A. Nouri [†], F. Poupaud [‡]

Abstract. Time dependent flows of viscous incompressible immiscible fluids are studied in the limit of vanishing Reynolds numbers. The velocity fields associated to each fluid solve Stokes equations in a time-dependent domain. Classical immiscibility conditions on the varying fluids interfaces are taken into account by a new formulation of the problem. The viscosity solves a transport equation and the velocity field solves a Stokes problem with this non constant viscosity. This formulation due to A. Fortin, Y. Demay and J.F. Agassant has already been used for numerical computations, see [4]. For this nonlinear system of equations, existence of solutions is proved, using the Schauder fixed point theorem and the concept of renormalized solutions introduced recently by R. J. DiPerna and P. L. Lions.

Acknowledgment.We would like to thank F. Murat for a helpful discussion and remarks.

1 Introduction.

Fluids interface computation has received increasing attention in recent years in the domain of polymer processing because of the proliferation of products that are manufactured using various coating and multilayer extrusion technologies. Coextrusion, i.e. extrusion of several polymers, is important in the polymer industry since it can lead to more economical production of flat film than the conventional lamination. This allows to obtain

^{*}INLN, 1361 route des lucioles, 06560 Valbonne, France

[†]Université de Nice Sophia-Antipolis, Parc Valrose 06108 Nice Cédex 02 France

[‡]Université de Nice Sophia-Antipolis, Parc Valrose 06108 Nice Cédex 02 France

products combining properties of different polymers such as low cost, surface aspect or impermeability (barrier materials). In this case, due to the high viscosity of polymer melts, the Reynolds number vanishes. Other factors such as density differences and tension between interfaces may also play a role but are considered as negligible in polymer processing.

From a mathematical point of view, the flow of N fluids occupying timedependent subdomains $\Omega_k, k = 1, ..., N$, of a fixed domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^D, D = 2$ or 3, is considered. In each subdomain, the fluid behaviour, i.e. the relationship between the strain and stress tensors, incompressibility and equilibrium, neglecting inertia forces, lead to Stokes equations. At the interfaces, transmission conditions are the continuity of the velocity and the normal component of the stress tensor. These conditions are obtained by variational considerations. The immiscibility condition at interfaces is shown to be equivalent to a transport equation on the whole domain for the viscosity, or other rheological constants in case of more complex rheology. The aim of this paper is to derive existence of weak solutions for the non linear system or equations obtained by coupling this transport equation with Stokes' equations. Unfortunately, smoothness properties of the velocity field do not allow to obtain a classical solution for the transport equation. Therefore, using the concept of renormalized solutions introduced by R. J. DiPerna and P. L. Lions is necessary ([3]). New difficulties arise when dealing with boundary conditions. They are overcome by constructing 'extended' solutions. It allows to precisely control the incoming and outcoming fluxes. Then the existence proof of solutions for the coupled system is obtained by means of the Schauder fixed point theorem. The compactness property of the map. whose fixed points are solutions of the multifluid problem, is proved thanks to a compensated compactness lemma. We point out that this technique applies for the full incompressible Navier-Stokes equations. A further paper will present results in this direction.

In Section 2, we derive the weak formulation we use, with classical interface conditions and present the main result. Section 3 is devoted to the Stokes problem with a given non constant viscosity. We prove a uniqueness and existence result for this linear problem, with standart techniques. Section 4 deals with the transport problem when the velocity fields are assumed to be Lipschitz. We prove existence and uniqueness of extended solutions by means of techniques developped in [3]. Finally, Section 5 concludes the paper by giving a proof of the main theorem. This proof is based on results derived in the previous sections and on compensated compactness techniques.

2 The multifluid Stokes problem.

Let us consider N viscous fluids with viscosities η_k $(1 \le k \le N, \eta_1 \le \eta_2 \le \dots \le \eta_N)$ flowing in an open set Ω of \mathbb{R}^D . The $i^{\underline{th}}$ fluid occupies at time t the open subdomain $\Omega_k(t)$. Denote $u_k(t, x)$ the velocity vector $(x \in \Omega_k(t))$. The velocity is globally defined on Ω by

$$u = u_k(t, x), \quad x \in \Omega_k(t), \quad k = 1, ..., N.$$

In the following, letters without subscript, such as γ , denote functions defined on the whole domain Ω , and the same letters with subscripts, such as γ_k , denote the restriction of these functions to the subdomains $\Omega_k(t), k = 1, ..., N$.

2.1. Stokes equations.

The scain tensor ϵ is defined by

$$\epsilon(u_k) = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla u_k + \nabla u_k^t), \quad x \in \Omega_k(t).$$
(2.1)

The fluid incompressibility is expressed by

$$div(u_k) = 0$$
 or $Tr(\epsilon(u_k)) = 0.$

The newtonian behaviour gives the constitutive equation for the stress tensor σ_k ,

$$\sigma_k = 2\eta_k \epsilon(u_k) - p_k Id. \tag{2.2}$$

Inertia being neglected and gravity not considered, the equilibrium equation is

$$div(\sigma_k) = 0. \tag{2.3}$$

Stokes equations are classically obtained by substituting equations (2.1) and (2.2) in the previous equation and using that u_k is divergence free

$$\eta_k \Delta u_k - \nabla p_k = 0, \quad div(u_k) = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

2.2. Transmission conditions. Denote $h_m(t)$ an interface between fluids k and l. The classical transmission conditions are the continuity of

the velocity \boldsymbol{u} and of the normal component of the stress tensor at the interface

$$u_k(t,x) = u_l(t,x), \quad x \in h_m(t),$$
(2.5)

$$\sigma_k(t,x) \cdot n = \sigma_l(t,x) \cdot n, \quad x \in h_m(t).$$
(2.6)

The vector n is the normal in h_m at point x and time t. These conditions correspond to those obtained in multimaterial elasticity (see [1]). Let us remark that if (2.5) is interpreted for almost every t as a trace equality in $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(h_m(t))$ and if the functions u_k belong to $H^1(\Omega_k(t))$, then u belongs to $H^1(\Omega)$. In the same way, the continuity of the stress normal component (2.6), interpreted for almost every t as an equality in $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(h_m(t))$, implies that the 'globally' defined stress tensor σ belongs to $H = \{\sigma \in (L^2(\Omega))^6; div(\sigma) = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\otimes)\}$. These remarks will allow to derive a weak formulation of the multifluid problem. Indeed we have

Lemma 2.1 Let Ω_m be Lipschitz bounded subsets of a bounded set $\Omega = \bigcup_{m=1}^{N} \Omega_m$, and let η be the function defined by $\eta = \eta_m$ on Ω_m . Then for every p in $L^2(\Omega)$ and u in $H^1(\Omega)$, the following propositions are equivalent

(i)
$$\sigma = \eta \epsilon(u) - pId$$
, $div(\sigma) = 0$, $div(u) = 0$.
(ii) $\eta_k \Delta u_k - \nabla p_k = 0$ $in\Omega_k$, $div(u_k) = 0$ $in\Omega_k$,
 $u_{k/h_m} = u_{l/h_m}$ in $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(h_m)$,
 $\sigma_k \cdot n_{/h_m} = \sigma_l \cdot n_{/h_m}$ in $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(h_m)$.

2.3. The immiscibility condition. Define

$$\Pi = [0, T] \times \Omega; \quad \Pi_k = \{(t, x); 0 \le t \le T \quad and \quad x \in \Omega_k(t)\},$$
$$H_m = \{(t, x); 0 \le t \le T \quad and \quad x \in h_m(t)\}.$$

Denote N the normal to Π_k at the boundary and U = (1, u(t, x)). For the time-dependent case, the immiscibility condition is classically written (see [1])

$$U \cdot N = 0, \quad (t, x) \in H_m. \tag{2.7}$$

The interface H_m is locally described as the graph of a Lipschitz function h,

$$x_d = h(t, x'), x = (x_d, x'), u = (u_d, u'), x' \in \mathbb{R}^{D-1}, u'(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{D-1}.$$

The previous equation becomes

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - u_d + u' \cdot \nabla_{x'} h = 0. \tag{2.8}$$

Let us define the viscosity function as

$$\eta(t,x) = \eta_k, \quad x \in \Omega_k(t). \tag{2.9}$$

These conditions at the interfaces can be expressed as a weak formulation of a transport equation of the viscosity on the whole domain

$$\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla \eta = 0, \quad (t, x) \in \Pi.$$
 (2.10)

In order to give a precise mathematical meaning to these statements, we first derive a trace property.

Lemma 2.2 Let Π_k be a Lipschitz bounded set of Π . Then there is a continuous trace mapping Γ_k from $V_1(\Pi_k)$ onto $V_{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial \Pi_k)$, where $V_1(\Pi_k)$ and $V_{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial \Pi_k)$ are defined in the following way

$$V_1(\Pi_k) = \{ u_{/\Pi_k}; u \in L^{\infty}(0, T; (H^1(\Omega))^D) \},\$$
$$V_{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial \Pi_k) = \{ v \circ \psi; v \in L^{\infty}(0, T; (H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial B_1))^D) \},\$$

with

$$B_1 = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^D; |x| < 1 \}, \psi \in Lip([0,T] \times \overline{B_1}; \overline{\Pi_k}), \psi \in Lip([0,T] \times \overline{B_1}; \overline{H_k}), \psi \in Lip([0,T] \times \overline{B_1}; \overline{H_k}),$$

 $\psi \in Lip([0,T] \times x)\psi$ is one to one and onto.

Proof.

Denote γ the trace mapping from $(H^1(B_1))^D$ into $(H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial B_1))^D$. Γ_k is defined by

$$\Gamma_k(u_k) = \gamma(u_k \circ \psi^{-1}) \circ \psi, \quad u_k \in V_1(\Pi_k).$$

The equivalence between (2.7) and (2.10) is expressed in

Lemma 2.3 Assume that Π_k , k = 1, ..., N, are Lipschitz domains and u belongs to $L^{\infty}(0, T; (H^1(\Omega))^D)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent (i) $\Gamma_k(u_k) \cdot N = 0 = \Gamma_l(u_l) \cdot N$ on H_m . (ii) $\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla \eta = 0$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\diamond)$.

Proof.

In a weak sense equation (2.10) means

$$\int_{\Pi} \eta(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla \varphi) dt dx = \int_{\Pi} \eta(U \cdot \nabla_{t,x} \varphi) dt dx$$
$$= 0 \quad for \; every \; \varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Pi). \tag{2.11}$$

If for example N = 2 and $\Pi = \Pi_1 \cup \Pi_2 \cup H$ and if the interface H is smooth, (2.11) becomes, since φ vanishes on the boundary of Π

$$\int_{\Pi_1} \eta(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} + u \cdot \bigtriangledown \varphi) dt dx + \int_{\Pi_2} \eta(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} + u \cdot \bigtriangledown \varphi) dt dx = 0.$$

Using the divergence theorem and Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$(\eta_1 - \eta_2) \int_H U \cdot N\varphi dt dx = 0, \quad for \; every \; \; \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Pi),$$

which concludes the proof.

Hence, the multifluid Stokes problem can be written as a Stokes problem with a variable viscosity, coupled with a transport equation for the viscosity. Indeed, combining Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 we obtain

Proposition 2.1 Assume that the subdomains $\Pi_k = \{(t, x) \in \Pi; \eta(t, x) = \eta_k\}$ are Lipschitz. Then the following properties are equivalent

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad u_k \in V_1(\Pi_k), \quad p_k \in V_0(\Pi_k), \\ \eta_k \Delta u_k - \bigtriangledown p_k &= 0 \quad in \quad \Pi_k, \quad div(u_k) = 0 \quad in \quad \Pi_k, \\ \Gamma_k(u_k) &= \Gamma_l(u_l) \quad on \quad Hm, \\ \Gamma_k(U_k) \cdot N &= \Gamma_l(U_l) \cdot N = 0 \quad on \quad H_m, \\ \Sigma_{k/\partial \Pi_k} \cdot N &= 0 = \Sigma_{l/\partial \Pi_l} \cdot N \quad on \quad H_m, \end{aligned}$$

where $U = {}^{t}(1, u), \Sigma = {}^{t}(1, \sigma), \sigma = \eta \epsilon(u) - pId$ and $\Sigma_{k/\partial \Pi_{k}}$ denotes the trace of Σ in $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial \Pi_{k})$.

(*ii*)
$$u \in L^{\infty}(0,T; H^{1}(\Omega)), \quad p \in L^{\infty}(0,T; L^{2}(\Omega)),$$

 $div(\eta\epsilon(u) - pId) = 0, \quad div(u) = 0,$
 $\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla \eta = 0 \quad in \quad \Pi.$

We shall use (ii) as a weak formulation of the multifluid problem.

Remark.

The strict equivalence between (i) and (ii) is only obtained when the interfaces are assumed to be smooth. But in the following we will construct a weak solution of (ii). Especially the viscosity η only belongs to $L^{\infty}(\Pi)$. Therefore the subdomains $\Pi_k = \eta^{-1}(\eta_k)$ have no reason to be smooth. Fractal interfaces may occur. Then interfacial transmission conditions (i) would no longer have any meaning. However, even in that situation, weak solutions of (ii) are mechanically admissible. Indeed the rheological constant η is always transported by the fluid as it is expressed by the transport equation and through every smooth surface there is continuity of velocities and of the normal component of the stress tensor, in the sense of traces in $H^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ respectively.

We now state the main result of this paper.

Theorem 2.1 Let Ω be a bounded Lipschitz open set of \mathbb{R}^D , whose boundary $\partial \Omega$ splits into

$$\partial \Omega = \Gamma_{in} \cup \Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_{out}, \tag{2.12}$$

where Γ_{in} and Γ_{out} are separated from each other. That means that there exist two open sets Ω_{in} and Ω_{out} such that

$$\Gamma_{in} \subset \Omega_{in}, \quad \Gamma_{out} \subset \Omega_{out}, \quad \Omega_{in} \cap \Omega_{out} = \emptyset.$$
 (2.13)

Let $u_0 \in L^2((0,\infty) \times \partial \Omega)$ be such that there exists $U_0 \in L^\infty(0,\infty; C_0^1(\mathbb{R})^D)$ verifying

$$U_{0} = u_{0} \quad on \quad (0, \infty) \times \partial\Omega, \\ div(U_{0}) = 0, \\ U_{0} \cdot n < 0, \quad t \ge 0, \quad x \in \Gamma_{in}, \\ U_{0} \cdot n = 0, \quad t \ge 0, \quad x \in \Gamma_{0}, \\ U_{0} \cdot n > 0. \quad t \ge 0, \quad x \in \Gamma_{out},$$
(2.14)

where n denotes the outward normal on $\partial\Omega$. Let $0 < \eta_1 < ..., \eta_N$, and η_0 and η_{in} belonging to $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $L^{\infty}((0,\infty) \times \Gamma_{in})$ respectively, be such that

$$(\eta_0, \eta_{in}) \in \{\eta_1, ..., \eta_N\}$$
 a.e. (2.15)

Then there is at least one solution (u, η, p) of the coupled system of equations

$$div(\eta(t,x)(\nabla u + \nabla u^{t})) = \nabla p, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \Omega,$$

$$div(u) = 0, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \Omega,$$

$$u = u_{0}, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega,$$

(2.16)

and

$$\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla \eta = 0, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \Omega,
\eta = \eta_0, \quad t = 0, \quad x \in \Omega,
\eta = \eta_{in}, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \Gamma_{in},$$
(2.17)

that satisfy

$$u \in L^{\infty}(0, \infty; (H^1(\Omega))^D), \tag{2.18}$$

$$\eta \in L^{\infty}((0,\infty) \times \Omega), \quad \eta \in \{\eta_1, ..., \eta_N\} \quad a.e.,$$
(2.19)

$$p \in L^2(0,\infty; L^2(\Omega)). \tag{2.20}$$

Before proving this theorem, let us study separately the two problems (2.16) and (2.17). This is the object of the following sections.

3 The Stokes problem with a given non-constant viscosity.

In this section we assume that Ω is a Lipschitz bounded set of \mathbb{R}^D . We are concerned with the following Stokes problem

Find
$$u \in (H^1(\Omega))^D$$
, $p \in L^2_0(\Omega)$, such that
 $\gamma(u) = u_0$,
 $div(u) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega$,
 $div(\eta(x)(\nabla u + \nabla u^t)) = \nabla p, \quad in \quad (H^{-1}(\Omega))^D$. (3.1)

The space $L^2_0(\Omega)$ is defined by

$$L_0^2(\Omega) = \{ p \in L^2(\Omega); \int_{\Omega} p dx = 0 \}.$$

The operator γ denotes the trace mapping from $H^1(\Omega)$ onto $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Omega)$. We assume that u_0 is the trace of some divergence-free function U_0 belonging to $(H^1(\Omega))^D$,

$$u_0 = U_0, \quad U_0 \in (H^1(\Omega))^D, \quad div(U_0) = 0.$$
 (3.2)

Remark.

If Ω is smooth, let us say with a C^2 boundary, then a necessary and sufficient condition in order that there exist a function U_0 satisfying (3.2) is

$$u_0 \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial \Omega), \quad \int_{\partial \Omega^k} u_0 d\sigma(x) = 0,$$

where $\partial \Omega^k$ are the connected components of the boundary. Assumption (3.2) is the usual one to obtain an existence and uniqueness result for the Stokes problem (see [8]).

Theorem 3.1 Let η be a bounded function such that

$$\eta \in L^{\infty}(\Omega), \quad 0 < \eta_1 < \eta(x) < \eta_N < \infty, \tag{3.3}$$

and let u_0 satisfy (3.2) for some function U_0 . Then there exist a unique solution (u, p) of the Stokes problem (3.1). Moreover,

$$\| u \|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \leq 2C(\Omega) \sqrt{\frac{\eta_{N}}{\eta_{1}}} \| u_{0} \|_{H^{1}(\Omega)},$$
(3.4)

where $C(\Omega)$ is a Poincaré constant.

Proof.

We introduce the space

$$V = \{ v \in (H_0^1(\Omega))^D; div(v) = 0 \},$$
(3.5)

which is a closed subspace of $(H_0^1(\Omega))^D$. Then an equivalent problem to (3.1) is

Find
$$u = U_0 + v, v \in V$$
, such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \eta \epsilon(v) : \epsilon(w) dx + \int_{\Omega} \eta \epsilon(U_0) : \epsilon(w) dx = 0 \text{ for any } w \in V.$$
(3.6)

It is easy to check that the bilinear form appearing in (3.6) is coercive, thanks to the following Korn inequality

Lemma 3.4 Let Ω be a Lipschitz bounded set. Then for any function v in V,

$$\int_{\Omega} \epsilon(v) : \epsilon(v) dx \ge \frac{1}{4} \| \nabla v \|_{(L^{2}(\Omega))^{6}} \ge \frac{C^{2}(\Omega)}{4} \| v \|_{(H^{1}(\Omega))^{D}} .$$
(3.7)

For a proof of this lemma we refer to [7]. We only point out that we have to assume that Ω is Lipschitz. Indeed, under such regularity, V is the closure in $(H_0^1(\Omega))^D$ of the space of functions v of $(C_0^{\infty}(\Omega))^D$ such that div(v) = 0(see [8]), for which (3.7) is easily checked. Hence we obtain existence and uniqueness of a solution of (3.6) which satisfies (3.4). As usual the result for p is obtained by considering

$$f = div(\eta \epsilon(u)),$$

that is bounded in $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ and satisfies

 $\langle f, v \rangle = 0, \quad v \in V.$

Then there exists a unique p in $L_0^2(\Omega)$ such that (see [8])

 $f = \bigtriangledown p.$

4 Transport equations with a non-Lipschitz convective field.

Let Ω be a Lipschitz bounded set of \mathbb{R}^D , whose boundary is split into three connected components Γ_{in} , Γ_0 and Γ_{out} . Let us study the following transport problem

Find
$$\eta \in L^{\infty}((0,\infty) \times \Omega)$$
 such that
 $\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla \eta = 0, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \Omega,$
 $\eta = \eta_{in}, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \Gamma_{in},$
 $\eta(0,x) = \eta_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega.$
(4.1)

An existence and uniqueness result for (4.1) is classical when the velocity field u belongs to $L^1(0,T;Lip(\Omega))$. It is obtained by the method of characteristics (see [2]). However, in the coupled problem, the natural set for u is the space $L^{\infty}(0,\infty;(H^1(\Omega))^D) \cap N(div)$. In this framework the only available result is due to DiPerna and Lions [3]. They proved the existence and uniqueness of a weak solution of (4.1) for the Cauchy problem, with $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^D$, under the weak assumptions $u \in L^1(0,T; W^{1,1}_{loc}(\Omega)), div(u) \in L^1(0,T; L^{\infty}(\Omega))$. In order to do that, they introduced the new concept of renormalized solutions. Here we have to extend their result to boundary value problems. First we introduce a class of admissible velocity fields

$$U = \{ u \in L^{\infty}(0, T; (H^{1}(\Omega))^{D}); \quad div(u) = 0, \quad \gamma(u) = \gamma(U_{0}) \}, \quad (4.2)$$

where $U_0 \in L^{\infty}(0, T; (C_0^1(\mathbb{I} \mathbb{R}^D))^D)$ and satisfies

$$div(U_0) = 0,$$

$$U_0 \cdot n < 0, \quad x \in \Gamma_{in},$$

$$U_0 \cdot n = 0, \quad x \in \Gamma_0,$$

$$U_0 \cdot n > 0, \quad x \in \Gamma_{out},$$

(4.3)

where n denotes the outward normal. Then we introduce the space of test functions

 $T=\{\varphi\in C_0^\infty(I\!\!R^{D+1});\quad \varphi(t,x)=0,\quad t>0,\quad x\in\Gamma_{out}\},$

and the following definitions

Definition 4.1 Let u be in U. Then a weak solution of (4.1) is a function $\eta \in L^{\infty}((0, \infty) \times \Omega)$ such that

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} \eta(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla \varphi) dt dx = \int_{\Omega} \eta_{0}(x) \varphi(0, x) dx + \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Gamma_{in}} \eta_{in}(t, x) \varphi(t, x) \mid u_{0} \cdot n \mid d\sigma(x) dt$$
(4.4)

for every φ in T.

Definition 4.2 Let u be in U. Then a renormalized solution of (4.1) is a function $\eta \in L^{\infty}((0,\infty) \times \Omega)$ such that, for any β in $C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$, $\beta(\eta)$ is a weak solution of (4.1) with the Cauchy data $\beta(\eta_{0})$ and the boundary data $\beta(\eta_{in})$.

In these definitions, we have extensively used the fact that u is divergence free. Then it is easy to verify that, if u and η are smooth, η is a renormalized solution of (4.1). These definitions do not allow to control the ougoing flux of the solutions. Therefore we introduce an auxiliary problem. We first assume that Γ_{in} and Γ_{out} are separated from each other: there exist two open sets Ω_{in} and Ω_{out} such that

$$\overline{\Gamma_{in}} \subset \Omega_{in}, \quad \overline{\Gamma_{out}} \subset \Omega_{out}, \quad \overline{\Omega_{in}} \cap \overline{\Omega_{out}} = \emptyset.$$

Then we extend the velocity field u in the following way. Let θ_{in} and θ_{out} be two functions of $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{D+1})$ which satisfy, for some open sets ω_{in} and ω_{out} such that $\overline{\Gamma_{in}} \subset \omega_{in}$ and $\overline{\Gamma_{out}} \subset \omega_{out}$,

$$\theta_{in} = 1 \quad for \ x \in \omega_{in}, \quad \theta_{in} = 0 \ for \ x \notin \Omega_{in}, \\ \theta_{out} = 1 \quad for \ x \in \omega_{out}, \quad \theta_{out} = 0 \ for \ x \notin \Omega_{out}.$$

Define \tilde{u} by

$$\widetilde{u} = u, \quad x \in \Omega,
\widetilde{u} = U_0(\theta_{in} + \theta_{out}), \quad x \notin \Omega.$$
(4.5)

Then

Lemma 4.5 The velocity field \tilde{u} satisfies

$$\tilde{u} \in L^{\infty}(0,T; H^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{D})), \quad div(\tilde{u}) \in L^{\infty}((0,\infty) \times \Omega),$$
$$\tilde{u} = 0, \quad x \notin \Omega \cup \Omega_{in} \cup \Omega_{out}.$$

Definition 4.3 An extended solution of (4.1) is a weak solution of

Find
$$\eta \in L^{\infty}((0,\infty) \times (\Omega \cup \Omega_{out}))$$
 such that

$$\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} + \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla \eta = 0, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \Omega \cup \Omega_{out},$$

$$\eta(t,x) = \eta_{in}(t,x), \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \Gamma_{in},$$

$$\eta(0,x) = \tilde{\eta}_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega \cup \Omega_{out},$$
(4.6)

with $\tilde{\eta_0}(x) = \eta_0(x)$ if $x \in \Omega$ and $\tilde{\eta_0}(x) = \eta_1$ if $x \notin \Omega$.

Notice that for this problem there is no longer any part of the boundary where the field \tilde{u} is outward. Therefore a weak extended solution satisfies

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega \cup \Omega_{out}} \eta(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} + \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla \varphi) dt dx = \int_{\Omega \cup \Omega_{out}} \tilde{\eta_0}(x) \varphi(0, x) dx + \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Gamma_{in}} \eta_{in}(t, x) \varphi(t, x) \mid u_0 \cdot n \mid d\sigma(x) dt (4.7)$$

for every φ in $C_0^\infty(I\!\!R^{D+1}).$

Of course an extended solution of (4.1) is a weak solution.

Theorem 4.1 Let u be a vector field in V, and η_0 and η_{in} be functions such that

$$\eta_0 \in L^{\infty}(\Omega), \quad \eta_{in} \in L^{\infty}((0,T) \times \Gamma_{in}), \\ \eta_0 \in \{\eta_1, ..., \eta_N\} \quad a.e.$$

Then the problem (4.1) has a unique weak extended solution. Moreover this weak extended solution is a renormalized solution and satisfies

$$\eta \in W^{1,\infty}(0,T;L^p(\Omega)), \quad p < \infty,$$
(4.8)

$$\eta_0 \in \{\eta_1, ..., \eta_N\}$$
 a.e. (4.9)

It has a trace η_{out} on Γ_{out} that belongs to $L^{\infty}(\Gamma_{out})$, and

$$\int_{\Omega} \eta^{2}(t,x) dx + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Gamma_{out}} \eta^{2}_{out}(s,x) \mid u_{0} \cdot n \mid d\sigma(x) ds = \\ \int_{\Omega} \eta^{2}_{0}(x) dx + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Gamma_{in}} \eta^{2}_{in}(s,x) \mid u_{0} \cdot n \mid d\sigma(x) ds.$$
(4.10)

If u is smooth, a characteristics method is available to solve (4.1), then (4.9) and (4.10) are easily obtained (see [2])).

Proof.

We begin with the existence result. First we consider a regularized sequence u_k defined as follows. Since the restriction of $\tilde{u} - U_0$ to Ω belongs to $L^{\infty}(0,T;V)$, there is a sequence v_k in $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega)$ such that $div(v_k) = 0$ and $v_k \to \tilde{u} - U_0$ in $L^p(0,T;H_0^1(\Omega))$, with $p < \infty$. Then we define

$$u_k = v_k + U_0, \quad x \in \Omega,$$
$$u_k = U_0(\theta_{in} + \theta_{out}), x \notin \Omega.$$

It is easy to check that u_k belongs to $L^{\infty}(0, T; C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^D))$. By a characteristics method we obtain a bounded sequence of solutions η_k of (4.6) associated with the velocity field u_k . Then it is easy to pass to the limit in (4.7) for a subsequence η_k which converges in $L^{\infty}((0,T) \times \Omega)$ weak *. To prove uniqueness, let us consider a solution of (4.7) with $\eta_0 = 0$, $\eta_{in} = 0$. We extend it by 0 outside Ω and obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{D+1}} \eta(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\tilde{u}\varphi)) dt dx = 0.$$
(4.11)

Then the result of [3, Theorem II.2] applies, which concludes $\eta = 0$. Now we prove that an extended solution of (4.1) is a renormalized solution. Following [3], we introduce a regularized sequence

$$\rho^{\epsilon}(x) = \frac{1}{\epsilon^{D}}\rho(\frac{x}{\epsilon}), \quad \rho \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{D}), \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{D}}\rho dx = 1,$$

and we recall a result due to Friedrichs [5] (see also [3]).

Lemma 4.6 Let \tilde{u} in $L^2(0,T;(H^1(\mathbb{R}^D))^D)$ and $\tilde{\eta}$ in $L^{\infty}((0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^D)$. Then

$$r^{\epsilon} = \tilde{u} \cdot \bigtriangledown (\tilde{\eta} * \rho^{\epsilon}) - (\tilde{u} \cdot \bigtriangledown \tilde{\eta}) * \rho^{\epsilon} \to 0 \quad in \quad L^2(0,T;L^2_{loc}(I\!\!R^D))$$

Let now η be an extended solution of (4.1). The first step is to extend η by a function $\tilde{\eta}$ of $L^{\infty}((0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^D)$ which satisfies

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\eta}}{\partial t} + \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\eta} = 0, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{D}.$$
(4.12)

For that, we solve a 'backward problem'. Let $\omega = \Omega_{in} \setminus \overline{\Omega}$. We solve

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \hat{\eta}}{\partial t} + \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla \hat{\eta} &= 0, \quad t \in (0, T), \quad x \in \omega, \\ \hat{\eta}(T, x) &= 0, \quad x \in \omega, \\ \hat{\eta}(t, x) &= \eta_{in}(t, x), \quad t \in (0, T), \quad x \in \Gamma_{in}. \end{aligned}$$
(4.13)

We point out that a solution of (4.13) is given by the preceeding proof of existence and that

 $\tilde{u} = 0, \quad x \in \partial \omega \setminus \Gamma_{in}.$

Therefore, for every function φ in $C_0^{\infty}((0,T) \times I\!\!R^D)$,

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \hat{\eta} (\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\tilde{u}\varphi)) dt dx = -\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma_{in}} \eta_{in}(t,x)\varphi(t,x) \mid u_{0} \cdot n \mid d\sigma(x) dt.$$

$$(4.14)$$

Then we define $\tilde{\eta}$ by

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\eta} &= \eta, \quad t \in (0,T), \quad x \in \Omega \cup \Omega_{out}, \\ \tilde{\eta} &= \hat{\eta}, \quad t \in (0,T), \quad x \in \omega, \\ \tilde{\eta} &= 0, \quad t \in (0,T), \quad x \notin \Omega \cup \Omega_{out} \cup \Omega_{in}. \end{split}$$

It follows from (4.7) and (4.14) that $\tilde{\eta}$ satisfies (4.12). Define

$$\eta^{\epsilon} = \tilde{\eta} * \rho^{\epsilon}.$$

We have

$$\frac{\partial \eta^{\epsilon}}{\partial t} + \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla \eta^{\epsilon} = r^{\epsilon}, \quad t \in (0,T), \quad x \in I\!\!R^D,$$

But η^{ϵ} is smooth with respect to t and x, since $\frac{\partial \eta^{\epsilon}}{\partial t} = -(\tilde{u} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\eta}) * \rho^{\epsilon}$. Therefore

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\beta(\eta^{\epsilon})) + \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla \beta(\eta^{\epsilon}) = r^{\epsilon} \beta'(\eta^{\epsilon}), \quad t \in (0,T), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{D},$$
(4.15)

for every function β in $C^1(\mathbb{R})$. Using Lemma 4.2, we pass to the limit and obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\beta(\tilde{\eta})) + \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla \beta(\tilde{\eta}) = 0 \quad in \quad C_0^{\infty}((0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^D).$$

It remains to study the traces of the sequence $\beta(\eta^{\epsilon})$ in order to determine the traces of $\beta(\eta)$. Choosing $\beta(t) = t^2$ in (4.15) we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}((\eta^{\epsilon} - \eta^{\delta})^2) + \tilde{u} \cdot \bigtriangledown ((\eta^{\epsilon} - \eta^{\delta})^2) = 2(r^{\epsilon} - r^{\delta})(\eta^{\epsilon} - \eta^{\delta}).$$

Let α be a nonnegative function in $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\alpha = 0$$
 for $t \ge T$, $\alpha = 1$ for $0 \le t \le T^* < T$

Hence

$$\begin{split} \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_{in}} \eta_{in} (\eta^{\epsilon} - \eta^{\delta})^2 &| u_0 \cdot n | \alpha(t) d\sigma(x) dt = \\ \int_0^T \int_{\Omega \cup \Omega_{out}} (\eta^{\epsilon} - \eta^{\delta})^2 (\alpha'(t) + \nabla \cdot \tilde{u}) dx dt \\ &+ \int_{\Omega \cup \Omega_{out}} (\tilde{\eta_0} * \rho^{\epsilon} - \tilde{\eta_0} * \rho^{\delta})^2 dx \\ + 2 \int_0^T \int_{\Omega \cup \Omega_{out}} (\eta^{\epsilon} - \eta^{\delta}) (r^{\epsilon} - r^{\delta}) \alpha(t) dx dt. \end{split}$$

This proves that the trace of η^{ϵ} on Γ_{in} is a Cauchy sequence of the Hilbert space $L^2(0, T^*; L^2(\Gamma_{in}; | u_0 \cdot n | d\sigma(x)))$. Since this sequence is bounded, it converges in this space towards a bounded function $\tilde{\eta}_{in}$. The same integration on Ω_{out} proves that the trace of η^{ϵ} converges in $L^2(0, T^*; L^2(\Gamma_{out}; | u_0 \cdot n | d\sigma(x))))$ towards a bounded function η_{out} . Then using the weak formulation,

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} \beta(\eta^{\epsilon}) (\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (U\varphi)) dt dx =$$

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} \beta'(\eta^{\epsilon}) r^{\epsilon} \varphi dt dx + \int_{\Omega} \beta(\tilde{\eta_{0}} * \rho^{\epsilon})(x) \varphi(0, x) dt dx$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Gamma_{in}} \beta(\eta^{\epsilon}) \varphi(t, x) \mid u_{0} \cdot n \mid d\sigma(x) dt$$

$$- \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Gamma_{out}} \beta(\eta^{\epsilon}) \varphi(t, x) \mid u_{0} \cdot n \mid d\sigma(x) dt, \qquad (4.16)$$

we pass to the limit and obtain that $\beta(\eta)$ is a weak solution of (4.1) with the data $\beta(\tilde{\eta}_0), \beta(\tilde{\eta}_{in})$. But taking $\beta(t) = t$ gives $\tilde{\eta}_{in} = \eta_{in}$. This proves that η is a renormalized solution. Moreover, it has a bounded trace η_{out} on Γ_{out} . Taking $\varphi = \alpha(t)$ on Ω in (4.16) and passing to the limit gives

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \alpha'(t) \int_{\Omega} \beta(\eta)(t, x) dt dx = \int_{\Omega} \beta(\eta_{0})(x) dx + \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Gamma_{in}} \beta(\eta_{in}) \alpha(t) \mid u_{0} \cdot n \mid d\sigma(x) dt - \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Gamma_{out}} \beta(\eta_{out}) \alpha(t) \mid u_{0} \cdot n \mid d\sigma(x) dt.$$

Choosing $\beta(t) = |t|^p$, it follows that $||\eta(t,.)||_{L^p(\Omega)}$ belongs to $W^{1,\infty}(0,T)$. The above formula with $\beta(t) = t^2$ leads also to (4.10). To prove that η takes its value in $\{\eta_1, ..., \eta_N\}$, we consider a function β , positive except for the value $\eta_i : \beta(\eta_i) = 0, i = 1, ..., N$. Then $\beta(\eta)$ is an extended solution of (4.1) with vanishing data. It follows from the result of uniqueness that $\beta(\eta) = 0$, a.e. This concludes the proof of Theorem 4.1.

5 Proof of the main theorem.

Stokes problem (3.1), only provides some regularity on the space derivatives of u, whereas the transport equation (4.1) gives some information on the time derivative of η . Therefore some compensated compactness result seems to be appropriate for passing to the limit in the non linear term ηu .

Lemma 5.7 Let (η^n) and (v^n) be two sequences uniformly bounded respectively in $L^2((0,T) \times \Omega)$ and $L^2(0,T; H^1_0(\Omega)^D)$, such that

$$\begin{split} \eta^n &\rightharpoonup \eta \quad in \quad L^2((0,T) \times \Omega) \quad weak, \\ v^n &\rightharpoonup v \quad in \quad L^2(0,T; (H^1_0(\Omega))^D) \quad weak. \end{split}$$

If $\frac{\partial \eta^n}{\partial t}$ is bounded in $L^2(0,T; H^{-1}(\Omega))$, then up to a subsequence, $\eta^n u^n$ converges to ηu in $(C_0^{\infty}((0,T) \times \Omega))'$.

Proof. (η^n) and $(\frac{\partial \eta^n}{\partial t})$ being bounded in $L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))$ and $L^2(0,T;H^{-1}(\Omega))$ respectively, Aubin's lemma ([6]) implies that there is a subsequence of (η^n) converging to η in $L^2(0,T;H^{-1}(\Omega))$. We notice that the convergence of η^n to η in $L^2(0,T;H^{-1}(\Omega))$ and the weak conergence of v^n to v in $L^2(0,T;(H_0^1(\Omega))^D)$ lead to the convergence of $\eta^n v^n$ to ηv in $(C_0^{\infty}((0,T) \times \Omega))'$.

Corollary 5.1 If u^n weakly converges to u in $L^2(0, T; (H^1(\Omega))^D)$ and $(\eta)^n$ is the solution of Theorem 4.1 associated with u^n , then (η^n) converges in $L^2((0,T) \times \Omega)$ to the solution of Theorem 4.1 associated with u.

Proof. Introduce the norm

$$\||\eta|\| = [\|\eta\|_{L^2((0,T)\times\Omega)} + \int_0^T (T-t) \int_{\Gamma_{out}} \eta_{out}^2 |u_0 \cdot n| d\sigma(x) dt]^{\frac{1}{2}}(5.1)$$

and the space

$$X = L^2((0,T) \times \Omega) \cap L^2(0,T; L^2(\Gamma_{out}; \mid u_0 \cdot n \mid d\sigma(x))),$$

provided with this norm ||||||. Thanks to (4.10), (η^n) is bounded in X. So, up to a subsequence, it weakly converges to some η in X. Lemma 5.1 applies to (η^n) and $(u^n - U_0)$, since

$$\frac{\partial \eta^n}{\partial t} = -\bigtriangledown \cdot (\eta^n u^n) \tag{5.2}$$

is bounded in $L^2(0,T; H^{-1}(\Omega))$. But $\eta^n U_0 \rightharpoonup \eta U_0$ in L^∞ weak star, therefore $\eta^n u^n \rightharpoonup \eta u$ in $(C_0^\infty((0,T) \times \Omega))'$. Then, passing to the limit in the formulation (4.7), we obtain that η is the extended solution of (4.1) associated with the velocity field u. It follows that the whole sequence weakly converges to η . We also conclude from (4.10) that

$$||| \eta^{n} ||| = ||| \eta ||| .$$
(5.3)

Since this norm is strictly convex, this leads to the strong convergence of η^n to η .

Let us now prove the main result.

Theorem 2.1 results from the existence of a fixed point for a map F by means

of the Schauder fixed point theorem. This map is defined in the following way. Let C be the convex set of $L^2((0,T) \times \Omega)$ defined by

$$C = \{ \eta \in L^{\infty}((0,T) \times \Omega); 0 < \eta_1 < \eta(x,t) < \eta_N \ a.e. \}.$$
(5.4)

For every η in C, let u be the unique solution of the Stokes problem associated to η , given by Theorem 3.1. Then $\mu = F(\eta)$ is defined as the unique extended solution given by Theorem 4.1, with an extended velocity field \tilde{u} defined as in (4.5). It follows from (4.9) that F maps C onto itself.

First we prove that F is continuous. (η^n) being a sequence of C converging to η in $L^2((0,T) \times \Omega)$, let u^n be the associated solution of (3.1), and $(\mu^n) = (F(\eta^n))$. From (3.4), (u^n) is bounded in $L^{\infty}(0,T;(H^1(\Omega))^D)$, so there is a subsequence (u^{n_p}) and an u such that (u^{n_p}) weakly converges to u in $L^2(0,T;(H^1(\Omega))^D)$. Hence $\epsilon(u^{n_p})$ weakly converges to $(\epsilon(u))$ in $(L^2((0,T) \times \Omega)^6)$. The strong convergence of (η^{n_p}) to η in $L^2((0,T) \times \Omega)$, as well as the weak convergence of $\epsilon(u^{n_p})$ to $\epsilon(u)$ in $(L^2((0,T) \times \Omega))^6$ imply the convergence of $\eta^{n_p}\epsilon(u^{n_p})$ to $\eta(u)$ in $L^1((0,T) \times \Omega)$. Therefore, passing to the limit in the Stokes problem (3.1), we obtain that u is the solution to the Stokes problem associated with η . Hence u is unique, so the whole sequence (u^n) weakly converges to u in $L^2(0,T;(H^1(\Omega))^D)$. On the other hand, $(F(\eta^n))$ and $(\tilde{u^n})$ satisfy the assumptions of Corollary 5.1, so that $(F(\eta^n))$ converges in $L^2((0,T) \times \Omega)$ to the solution of Theorem 4.1 associated with u, that is exactly $F(\eta)$.

We now prove the compactness of F.

Let (η^n) be an arbitrary sequence in C. Then the sequence of Stokes problem solution (u^n) associated with (η^n) is bounded in $L^2(0,T;(H^1(\Omega))^D)$. Hence there is a subsequence (u^{n_p}) weakly converging to some U in

 $L^2(0,T;(H^1(\Omega))^D)$. We conclude as above that, up to a subsequence, $F(\eta^n)$ strongly converges in $L^2((0,T) \times \Omega)$ towards the extended solution of (4.1) associated with the velocity u. It ends the proof of the compactness of F, as well as Theorem 2.1 proof.

References

- ASTARITA, G., MARRUCCI, G., Principles of Non-Newtonian Fluid Mechanic, McGraw-Hill, New-York, 1974.
- BARDOS C., Problèmes aux limites pour les équations aux dérivées partielles du premier ordre à coefficients réels, Ann. Scient. Ec. Norm. Sup., 4^{ème} série 3 (1970) 185-233.
- DIPERNA R.J., LIONS P.L., Ordinary differential equations, transport theory and Sobolev spaces, *Invent. Math.* 98(1989) 511-547.
- 4. FORTIN, A., DEMAY, Y., AGASSANT, J.F., Computation of stationary interface between generalized Newtonian fluids, *Preprint*.
- FRIEDRICHS, K., Symmetric positive systems of Differential Equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math.7(1954) 345-392.
- LIONS, J.L., Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires, Gauthier-Villars, 1969.
- OLEINIK, O. A., Boundary value problems for elasticity theory in unbounded domains, *Russian Math. Surveys* 43 5 (1988) 65-119.
- TEMAM, R., Navier-Stokes equations, North Holland, Amsterdam New-York Oxford, 1977.