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#### Abstract

This paper deals with a half-space linearized problem for the distribution function of the excitations in a Bose gas close to equilibrium. Existence and uniqueness of the solution, as well as its asymptotic properties are proven for a given energy flow. The problem differs from the ones for the classical Boltzmann and related equations, where the hydrodynamic mass flow along the half-line is constant. Here it is no more constant. Instead we use the energy flow which is constant, but no more hydrodynamic.


1. Introduction. This paper studies a linearized half-line problem related to the kinetic equation for a gas of excitations interacting with a Bose condensate. Below the temperature $T_{c}$ where Bose-Einstein condensation sets in, the system consists of a condensate and excitations. The condensate density $n_{c}$ is modelled by a GrossPitaevskii equation. The excitations are described by a kinetic equation with a source term taking into account their interactions with the condensate,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}+p \cdot \nabla_{x} F=C_{12}\left(F, n_{c}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

With $F$ the distribution function of the excitations, and $n_{c}$ the density of the condensate, the collision operator in this model is

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{12}\left(F, n_{c}\right)(p)=n_{c} \int \delta_{0} \delta_{3}\left(\left(1+F_{1}\right) F_{2} F_{3}-F_{1}\left(1+F_{2}\right)\left(1+F_{3}\right)\right) d p_{1} d p_{2} d p_{3} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F\left(p_{i}\right)$ is denoted by $F_{i}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{0}=\delta\left(p_{1}=p_{2}+p_{3}, p_{1}^{2}=p_{2}^{2}+p_{3}^{2}+n_{c}\right) \\
& \delta_{3}=\delta\left(p_{1}=p\right)-\delta\left(p_{2}=p\right)-\delta\left(p_{3}=p\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This corresponds to the 'high temperature case' $|p| \gg \sqrt{n_{c}}$ in the superfluid rest frame with the temperature range close to $0.7 T_{c}$, where the approximation $p^{2}+n_{c}$ for the excitation energy is commonly used.

Multiplying (2) by $\log \frac{F}{1+F}$ and integrating in p , it follows that $C_{12}\left(F, n_{c}\right)=0$ if and only if

$$
\frac{F_{1}}{1+F_{1}}=\frac{F_{2}}{1+F_{2}} \frac{F_{3}}{1+F_{3}}, \quad p_{1}=p_{2}+p_{3}, p_{1}^{2}=p_{2}^{2}+p_{3}^{2}+n_{c}
$$

[^0]This implies that the kernel of $C_{12}$ consists of the Planckian distribution functions

$$
P_{\alpha, \beta}(p)=\frac{1}{e^{\alpha\left(p^{2}+n_{c}\right)+\beta \cdot p}-1}, \quad p \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, \quad \text { for } \quad \alpha>0, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{3} .
$$

We refer to [1] and references therein for a further discussion of the two-component model, and to [2] where its well-posedness and long time behaviour are studied close to equilibrium. In that context the linearized half-space problem of this paper is connected to boundary layer questions for (1), for which $n_{c}$ may be taken as a constant $n$. Take $\alpha=1$ and write $\left(|p|^{2}+n\right)+\beta \cdot p=\left|p+\frac{\beta}{2}\right|^{2}+n-\frac{|\beta|^{2}}{4}$. With the approximation (close to diffusive thermal equilibrium) $n-\frac{|\beta|^{2}}{4}=0$, i.e. $|\beta|=2 \sqrt{n}$, the Planckian $P(p)$ takes the form

$$
P(p)=\frac{1}{e^{\left|p-p_{0}\right|^{2}}-1} \quad \text { with } \quad p_{0}=-\frac{\beta}{2} .
$$

Changing variables $p-p_{0} \rightarrow p$ gives

$$
P(p)=\frac{1}{e^{|p|^{2}}-1}
$$

The Dirac measure $\delta_{0}$ in (2) changes into $\delta_{c}=\delta\left(p_{1}=p_{2}+p_{3}+p_{0}, p_{1}^{2}=p_{2}^{2}+p_{3}^{2}\right)$.

With $F=P(1+f)$, the integrand of the collision operator becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1+F_{1}\right) F_{2} F_{3}-F_{1}\left(1+F_{2}\right)\left(1+F_{3}\right) \\
= & -\left(1+P_{2}+P_{3}\right) P_{1} f_{1}+\left(P_{3}-P_{1}\right) P_{2} f_{2}+\left(P_{2}-P_{1}\right) P_{3} f_{3} \\
& +P_{2} P_{3} f_{2} f_{3}-P_{1} P_{2} f_{1} f_{2}-P_{1} P_{3} f_{1} f_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we have used that $(1+P)=M^{-1} P$, where

$$
M(p)=e^{-p^{2}}, p \in \mathbb{R}^{3}
$$

and that $M\left(p_{1}\right)=M\left(p_{2}\right) M\left(p_{3}\right)$ when $p_{1}^{2}=p_{2}^{2}+p_{3}^{2}$. It follows that the linear term in the previous integrand gives the linearized operator
$L(f)=\frac{n}{P} \int \delta_{c} \delta_{3}\left[-\left(1+P_{2}+P_{3}\right) P_{1} f_{1}+\left(P_{3}-P_{1}\right) P_{2} f_{2}+\left(P_{2}-P_{1}\right) P_{3} f_{3}\right] d p_{1} d p_{2} d p_{3}$.
We shall here consider functions on a half-line in the $x$-direction, which in the variable $p=\left(p_{x}, p_{y}, p_{z}\right)$ are cylindrically symmetric functions of $p_{x}$ and $p_{r}=\sqrt{p_{y}^{2}+p_{z}^{2}}$. Assuming $p_{0}=\left(0, p_{0 y}, p_{0 z}\right)$, this changes the momentum conservation Dirac measure in $L$ to $\delta\left(p_{1 x}-p_{2 x}-p_{3 x}\right)$. Being in the high temperature case, we introduce a cut-off at $\lambda>0$ in the integrand of $L$, given by the characteristic function $\tilde{\chi}$ for the set of $\left(p, p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)$, such that

$$
|p| \geq \lambda, \quad\left|p_{1}\right| \geq \lambda, \quad\left|p_{2}\right| \geq \lambda, \quad\left|p_{3}\right| \geq \lambda
$$

The Milne problem is

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{x} \partial_{x} f=L f, \quad x>0, p_{x} \in \mathbb{R}, p_{r} \in \mathbb{R}^{+},|p| \geq \lambda,  \tag{3}\\
f(0, p)=f_{0}\left(p_{x}, p_{r}\right), \quad p_{x}>0, \quad|p| \geq \lambda \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $f_{0}$ is given. The restriction $|p| \geq \lambda$ will be implicitly assumed below, and $\int d p$ will stand for $\int_{|p| \geq \lambda} d p$.

We shall prove in Section 2 (see Lemma 2.1) that the kernel of $L$ is spanned by $|p|^{2}(1+P)$ and $p_{x}(1+P)$. For any measurable function $f(x, p)$ such that for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$,

$$
(p \rightarrow f(x, p)) \in L_{p_{r}(1+|p|)^{3} \frac{P}{1+P}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)
$$

where $|p|=\sqrt{p_{x}^{2}+p_{r}^{2}}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, p)=a(x)|p|^{2}(1+P)+b(x) p_{x}(1+P)+w(x, p) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

be its orthogonal decomposition on the kernel of $L$ and the orthogonal complement in $L_{p_{r} \frac{P}{1+P}}^{2}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int p_{x} w(x, p) P p_{r} d p_{x} d p_{r}=\int|p|^{2} w(x, p) P p_{r} d p_{x} d p_{r}=0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $D$ the function space

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D=\left\{f ; f \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} ; L_{p_{r}(1+|p|)^{3} \frac{P}{1+P}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)\right)\right. \\
&\left.p_{x} \partial_{x} f \in L_{l o c}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} ; L_{p_{r}(1+|p|)^{-3} \frac{P}{1+P}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The main result of this paper is the following.
Theorem 1.1. For any $\mathcal{E} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f_{0} \in L_{p_{r}(1+|p|)^{3} \frac{P}{1+P}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$, there is a unique solution $f \in D$ to the Milne problem,

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{x} \partial_{x} f=L f, \quad x>0, \quad p_{x} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad p_{r} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}  \tag{7}\\
f(0, p)=f_{0}(p), \quad p_{x}>0  \tag{8}\\
\int p_{x}|p|^{2} f(x, p) P(p) d p=\mathcal{E}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Moreover, for the decomposition (5) of the solution, there are $\left(a_{\infty}, b_{\infty}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{\infty}=\frac{\mathcal{E}}{\gamma}, \quad \text { where } \quad \gamma=\int p_{x}^{2}|p|^{2} P(1+P) d p \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a constant $c>0$, such that for any $\eta \in] 0, c_{1}[$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int(1+|p|)^{3} w^{2}(x, p) \frac{P}{1+P} d p+\left|a(x)-a_{\infty}\right|^{2}+\left|b(x)-b_{\infty}\right|^{2} \leq c e^{-2 \eta x}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here with $\nu_{0}$ defined by (2.4),

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}=\min \left\{\frac{\nu_{0}}{2}, \frac{\nu_{0}}{2 c_{2}}\right\}, \quad c_{2}=\frac{2}{\gamma}\left(\int p_{x}^{4} P(1+P) d p \int p_{x}^{2}|p|^{4} P(1+P) d p\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remarks. This result should be compared to the analogous result concerning the Milne problem for the linearized Boltzmann operator around the absolute Maxwellian in [5]. In [5] the mass flow is constant and well-posedness for the Milne problem is proven for a given mass flow. In the present paper on the other hand, the mass flow may not be constant, since mass is not a hydrodynamic mode. But the energy flow is constant, and well-posedness here is proven for a fixed energy flow. That this energy flow is proportional to the asymptotic limit of the mass flow, is a new low temperature result.

A separate complication in the present case is that, whereas the given mass flow in [5] is a hydrodynamic component of the solution, here the energy flow is not in
the kernel of $L$. Another differing aspect compared to classical kinetic theory, is that the collision frequency is asymptotically equivalent to $|p|^{3}$, when $p \rightarrow \infty$.

The interest in half space problems such as (7)-(8) is partly due to their role in the boundary layer behaviour of the solution of boundary-value problems of kinetic equations for small Knudsen numbers. This subject has received much attention for the Boltzmann equation ([13], [15], [16], [17], [18], [3]) and related equations ([7], [14]). Starting from the stationary Boltzmann equation in a half-space with given in-datum and a Maxwellian limit at infinity, the unknown is assumed to stay close to this Maxwellian, giving rise to the linearized stationary Boltzmann equation in a half space. A general treatment of the linearized problem for hard forces and hard spheres under null bulk velocity, is given in [12] and references therein. The case of a gas of hard spheres (resp. of hard or soft forces) and a null bulk velocity at infinity is independently treated in [5] (resp. in [11]). The case of a gas of hard spheres and a nonzero bulk velocity at infinity is considered in [9], positively answering a former conjecture [8]. The Milne problem for the Boltzmann equation with a force term is analyzed in [10]. Half-space problems in a discrete velocity frame are studied in [4]. For a review of mathematical results on the half-space problem for the linear and nonlinear Boltzmann equations, we refer to [6].

The plan of the paper is the following. In Section 2, the linearized collision operator $L$ is studied, including a spectral inequality. In Section 3, Theorem 1.1 is proven.

## 2. The linearized collision operator.

Lemma 2.1. L is a self-adjoint operator in $L_{\frac{P}{1+P}}^{2}$. Within the space of cylindrically invariant distribution functions, its kernel is the subspace spanned by $|p|^{2}(1+P)$ and $p_{x}(1+P)$.

Proof. It follows from the equalities

$$
\begin{array}{r}
P_{2}\left(1+P_{2}\right)\left(P_{3}-P_{1}\right)=P_{3}\left(1+P_{3}\right)\left(P_{2}-P_{1}\right)=P_{1}\left(1+P_{2}\right)\left(1+P_{3}\right) \\
P_{1}\left(1+P_{2}+P_{3}\right)=P_{2} P_{3}=\frac{P_{1}\left(1+P_{2}\right)\left(1+P_{3}\right)}{1+P_{1}}, \quad p_{1}^{2}=p_{2}^{2}+p_{3}^{2}
\end{array}
$$

that for any functions $f$ and $g$ in $L_{\frac{P}{1+P}}^{2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int \frac{P}{1+P}(p) f(p) L g(p) d p & =-n \int \tilde{\chi} \delta_{c} P_{1}\left(1+P_{2}\right)\left(1+P_{3}\right)\left(\frac{f_{1}}{1+P_{1}}-\frac{f_{2}}{1+P_{2}}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{f_{3}}{1+P_{3}}\right)\left(\frac{g_{1}}{1+P_{1}}-\frac{g_{2}}{1+P_{2}}-\frac{g_{3}}{1+P_{3}}\right) d p_{1} d p_{2} d p_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves the self-adjointness of $L$ in $L_{\frac{P}{1+P}}^{2}$. Moreover, $L f=0$ for $f \in L_{\frac{P}{1+P}}^{2}$ implies that

$$
\frac{f_{1}}{1+P_{1}}=\frac{f_{2}}{1+P_{2}}+\frac{f_{3}}{1+P_{3}}, \quad p_{1 x}=p_{2 x}+p_{3 x}, \quad p_{1}^{2}=p_{2}^{2}+p_{3}^{2}
$$

It is a consequence of this Cauchy equation that the orthogonal functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
|p|^{2}(1+P) \text { and } p_{x}(1+P) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

span the kernel of $L$.

The operator $L$ splits into $K-\nu$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
K f(p): & =\frac{2 n}{P(p)}\left(\int \tilde{\chi} \delta\left(p_{x}=p_{2 x}+p_{3 x}, p^{2}=p_{2}^{2}+p_{3}^{2}\right)\left(P_{3}-P\right) P_{2} f_{2} d p_{2} d p_{3}\right. \\
& +\int \tilde{\chi} \delta\left(p_{1 x}=p_{x}+p_{3 x}, p_{1}^{2}=p^{2}+p_{3}^{2}\right)\left(1+P+P_{3}\right) P_{1} f_{1} d p_{1} d p_{3} \\
& \left.+\int \tilde{\chi} \delta\left(p_{1 x}=p_{x}+p_{3 x}, p_{1}^{2}=p^{2}+p_{3}^{2}\right)\left(P_{1}-P\right) P_{3} f_{3} d p_{1} d p_{3}\right) \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\nu(p): & =n \int \tilde{\chi} \delta\left(p_{x}=p_{2 x}+p_{3 x}, p^{2}=p_{2}^{2}+p_{3}^{2}\right)\left(1+P_{2}+P_{3}\right) d p_{2} d p_{3} \\
& +2 n \int \tilde{\chi} \delta\left(p_{1 x}=p_{x}+p_{3 x}, p_{1}^{2}=p^{2}+p_{3}^{2}\right)\left(P_{3}-P_{1}\right) d p_{1} d p_{3} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 2.2. The operator $K$ is compact from $L_{\nu_{\frac{P}{1+P}}^{2}}$ in $L_{\nu^{-1} \frac{P}{1+P}}^{2}$. The collision frequency $\nu$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{0}(1+|p|)^{3} \leq \nu(p) \leq \nu_{1}(1+|p|)^{3}, \quad p=\left(p_{x}, p_{r}\right) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive constants $\nu_{0}$ and $\nu_{1}$.
Proof of Lemma 2.2. $K=K_{1}+K_{2}+K_{3}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{1} h(p):= 2 \pi n \int k_{1}\left(p, p_{2}\right) h_{2} d p_{2}, \quad K_{2} h(p)=2 \pi n \int k_{2}\left(p, p_{1}\right) h_{1} d p_{1}, \\
& K_{3}(p)=2 \pi n \int k_{3}\left(p, p_{3}\right) h_{3} d p_{3}, \\
& k_{1}\left(p, p_{2}\right):=\frac{P_{2}}{\pi} \int \delta\left(p_{x}=p_{2 x}+p_{3 x}, p^{2}=p_{2}^{2}+p_{3}^{2}\right) \frac{P_{3}-P}{P} d p_{3} \\
&=P_{2} \chi_{p^{2}-p_{2}^{2}-\left(p_{x}-p_{2 x}\right)^{2}>0}\left(\frac{1}{P\left(e^{p^{2}-p_{2}^{2}}-1\right)}-1\right) \\
& k_{2}\left(p, p_{1}\right):= \frac{P_{1}}{\pi} \int \delta\left(p_{1 x}=p_{x}+p_{3 x}, p_{1}^{2}=p^{2}+p_{3}^{2}\right) \frac{1+P+P_{3}}{P} d p_{3} \\
&=P_{1} \chi_{p_{1}^{2}-p^{2}-\left(p_{1 x}-p_{x}\right)^{2}>0}\left(\frac{1}{P}+1+\frac{1}{P\left(e^{\left.p_{1}^{2}-p^{2}-1\right)}\right.}\right) \\
& k_{3}\left(p, p_{3}\right):= \frac{P_{3}}{\pi} \int \delta\left(p_{1 x}=p_{x}+p_{3 x}, p_{1}^{2}=p^{2}+p_{3}^{2}\right) \frac{P_{1}-P}{P} d p_{1} \\
&=P_{3} \chi_{p^{2}+p_{3}^{2}-\left(p_{x}-p_{3 x}\right)^{2}>0}\left(\frac{1}{P\left(e^{\left.p^{2}+p_{3}^{2}-1\right)}-1\right)}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$. We treat separately the parts of $K_{1}$ with $\frac{P_{3}}{P}$ and with $\frac{P}{P}$, and notice that for $|p|,\left|p_{2}\right|,\left|p_{3}\right| \geq \lambda$, factors $P=\frac{M}{1-M}$ may be replaced by $M$ for questions of boundedness and convergence to zero. For $m>\lambda$ fixed, split the domain of $p_{2}$ into $\left|p_{2}\right|<m$ and $\left|p_{2}\right|>m$. The mapping

$$
h \rightarrow \int_{\left|p_{2}\right|<m} k_{11}\left(p, p_{2}\right) h_{2} d p_{2}
$$

where

$$
k_{11}\left(p, p_{2}\right)=M_{2} M_{3} M^{-1} \chi_{p^{2}-p_{2}^{2}-\left(p_{x}-p_{2 x}\right)^{2}>0, \lambda^{2}<\left|p_{2}\right|^{2}<p^{2}-\lambda^{2}}
$$

is compact from $L_{\nu_{\frac{P}{1+P}}^{2}}^{2}$ into $L_{\nu^{-1} \frac{P}{1+P}}^{2}$. Indeed

$$
\int_{\left|p_{2}\right|<m} \nu^{-1} M k_{11}^{2}\left(p, p_{2}\right) \nu_{2}^{-1} M_{2}^{-1} d p d p_{2}<\infty
$$

The mapping $h \rightarrow \int_{\left|p_{2}\right|>m} k_{11}\left(p, p_{2}\right) h_{2} d p_{2}$ tends to zero in $L_{\nu^{-1} \frac{P}{1+P}}^{2}$ when $m \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly for functions $h$ with norm one in $L_{\frac{P}{1+P}}^{2}$. Namely, it holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int \nu^{-1} M\left(\int_{\left|p_{2}\right|>m} k_{11}\left(p, p_{2}\right) h_{2} d p_{2}\right)^{2} d p\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\leq & \int_{\left|p_{2}\right|>m}\left(\int \nu^{-1} M k_{11}^{2}\left(p, p_{2}\right) d p\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} h_{2} d p_{2} \\
\leq & \int_{\left|p_{2}\right|>m}\left(\int_{|p|>\left|p_{2}\right|} \nu^{-1} M d p\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} h_{2} d p_{2} \\
\leq & c \int_{\left|p_{2}\right|>m} \frac{M_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|p_{2}\right|} h_{2} d p_{2} \\
\leq & \frac{c}{m}\left(\int M_{2} \nu_{2} h_{2}^{2} d p_{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The other term in $K_{1}$ only differs in the factor $\frac{P}{P}<\frac{P_{3}}{P}$. The compactness of $K_{1}$ follows.

An analogous splitting of $K_{2}$ with respect to velocities smaller and larger than $m$, gives for $K_{2}$ and $\left|p_{1}\right|<m$ that the dominating term corresponds to the factor $\frac{1}{P}$. The mapping becomes

$$
h \rightarrow \int_{\left|p_{1}\right|<m} k_{21}\left(p, p_{1}\right) h_{1} d p_{1}
$$

with

$$
k_{21}\left(p, p_{1}\right)=M_{1} M^{-1} \chi_{p_{1}^{2}-p^{2}-\left(p_{1 x}-p_{x}\right)^{2}>0, \lambda^{2}<p_{1}^{2}-p^{2}}
$$

Since the kernel $k_{21}$ is bounded on the domain of integration which is bounded, this mapping is compact. The mapping $h \rightarrow \int_{\left|p_{1}\right|>m} k_{21}\left(p, p_{1}\right) h_{1} d p_{1}$ tends to zero in $L_{\nu^{-1} \frac{P}{1+P}}^{2}$ when $m \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly for functions $h$ with norm one in $L_{\nu \frac{P}{1+P}}^{2}$. Here

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int \nu^{-1} M\left(\int_{\left|p_{1}\right|>m} k_{21}\left(p, p_{1}\right) h_{1} d p_{1}\right)^{2} d p\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \int_{\left|p_{1}\right|>m}\left(\int_{p^{2}<p_{1}^{2}} \nu^{-1} M^{-1} d p\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} M_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \nu_{1}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(M_{1} \nu_{1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} h_{1} d p_{1} \\
& \leq c \int_{\left|p_{1}\right|>m} \nu_{1}^{-\frac{5}{6}}\left(M_{1} \nu_{1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} h_{1} d p_{1} \\
& \leq\left(\int_{\left|p_{1}\right|>m} \nu_{1}^{-\frac{5}{3}} d p_{1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int M_{1} \nu_{1} h_{1}^{2} d p_{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which again tends to zero, uniformly in $h$ when $m \rightarrow \infty$. In $K_{3}$ the dominating term corresponds to the factor $\frac{P}{P}$. For the kernel

$$
k_{31}\left(p, p_{3}\right)=M_{3} \chi_{p^{2}+p_{3}^{2}-\left(p_{x}+p_{3 x}\right)^{2}>0,\left|p_{3}\right|>\lambda}
$$

it holds that

$$
\int_{\left|p_{3}\right|<m} \nu^{-1} M k_{31}^{2}\left(p, p_{3}\right) \nu_{3}^{-1} M_{3}^{-1} d p d p_{3}<\infty
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int \nu^{-1} M\left(\int_{\left|p_{3}\right|>m} k_{31}\left(p, p_{3}\right) h_{3} d p_{3}\right)^{2} d p\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \int_{\left|p_{3}\right|>m}\left(\int \nu^{-1} M k_{31}^{2}\left(p, p_{3}\right) d p\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} h_{3} d p_{3} \\
& \leq \int_{\left|p_{3}\right|>m} M_{3} h_{3} d p_{3}\left(\int \nu^{-1} M d p\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq c\left(\int_{\left|p_{3}\right|>m} M_{3} \nu_{3}^{-1} d p_{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int M_{3} \nu_{3} h_{3}^{2} d p_{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

This ends the proof of the compactness of $K$.
The function $\nu$ is bounded from below by a positive constant, since

$$
P_{3}-P_{1}>0, \quad p_{1}^{2}=p^{2}+p_{3}^{2}
$$

For $|p|>\lambda$ the first term of $\nu(p)$ belongs to the interval with end points

$$
2 \pi^{2} n \int_{p_{2 r}>0, p_{2 r}^{2}+2\left(p_{2 x}-\frac{1}{2} p_{x}\right)^{2}<\frac{1}{2} p_{x}^{2}+p_{r}^{2}} p_{2 r} d p_{2 r} d p_{2 x}
$$

and

$$
2 \pi^{2} n\left(1+\frac{2}{e^{\lambda^{2}}-1}\right) \int_{p_{2 r}>0, p_{2 r}^{2}+2\left(p_{2 x}-\frac{1}{2} p_{x}\right)^{2}<\frac{1}{2} p_{x}^{2}+p_{r}^{2}} p_{2 r} d p_{2 r} d p_{2 x}
$$

With the change of variables $(x, y):=\left(p_{2 x}, p_{2 r}^{2}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \int_{p_{2 r}>0, p_{2 r}^{2}+2\left(p_{2 x}-\frac{1}{2} p_{x}\right)^{2}<\frac{1}{2} p_{x}^{2}+p_{r}^{2}} p_{2 r} d p_{2 r} d p_{2 x} \\
& =\int_{y>0, y+2\left(x-\frac{1}{2} p_{x}\right)^{2}<\frac{1}{2} p_{x}^{2}+p_{r}^{2}} d x d y \\
& =\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2} p_{x}^{2}+p_{r}^{2}} \int_{\left(x-\frac{1}{2} p_{x}\right)^{2}<\frac{1}{4}\left(p_{x}^{2}+2 p_{r}^{2}-2 y\right)} d x d y \\
& =\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2} p_{x}^{2}+p_{r}^{2}} \sqrt{p_{x}^{2}+2 p_{r}^{2}-2 y} d y \\
& =\frac{1}{3}\left(p_{x}^{2}+2 p_{r}^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
& \sim|p|^{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The second term of $\nu(p)$ is bounded. Indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \leq \frac{1}{2 \pi^{2}} \int \delta\left(p_{1 x}=p_{x}+p_{3 x}, p_{1}^{2}=p^{2}+p_{3}^{2}\right)\left(P_{3}-P_{1}\right) d p_{1} d p_{3} \\
& \leq \int_{p_{1 r}>0}\left(\frac{1}{e^{p_{1}^{2}-p^{2}}-1}-P_{1}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty} \delta\left(p_{3 r}^{2}=p_{1 r}^{2}-p^{2}-p_{x}^{2}+2 p_{x} p_{1 x}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\leq \int p_{3 r} d p_{3 r}\right) p_{1 r} d p_{1 r} d p_{1 x} \\
& =\sum_{k \geq 1} e^{k p^{2}} \int e^{-k x^{2}} \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-k y} \chi_{y>p^{2}+p_{x}^{2}-2 x p_{x}} d y d x \\
& \leq \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{k} \int e^{-k\left(x-p_{x}\right)^{2}} d x \\
& =\sqrt{\pi} \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{k^{\frac{3}{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote by $(\cdot, \cdot)$ the scalar product in $L_{\frac{P}{1+P}}^{2}$, and by $\tilde{P}$ the orthogonal projection on the kernel of $L$.

Lemma 2.3. L satisfies the spectral inequality,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-(L f, f) \geq \nu_{0}\left((1+|p|)^{3}(I-\tilde{P}) f,(I-\tilde{P}) f\right), \quad f \in L_{(1+|p|)^{3} \frac{P}{1+P}}^{2} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For the compact, self-adjoint operator $K$, the spectrum behaves similarly to the classical Boltzmann case. Namely, there is no eigenvalue $\alpha>1$ for $\frac{K}{\nu}$. Else there is $f \neq 0$ such that $L f=(\alpha-1) \nu f$ and so $(L f, f)>0$. But

$$
(L f, f)=-n \int \tilde{\chi} \delta_{c}\left(\frac{f_{1}}{1+P_{1}}-\frac{f_{2}}{1+P_{2}}-\frac{f_{3}}{1+P_{3}}\right)^{2} d p_{1} d p_{2} d p_{3} \leq 0
$$

In the complement of the kernel of $L$, the eigenvalues of $\frac{K}{\nu}$ are bounded from above by $\alpha_{0}<1$. Spanning $L_{(1+|p|)^{3} \frac{P}{1+P}}^{2}$ with the corresponding eigenfunctions of $\frac{K}{\nu}$ and the kernel of $L$, we obtain the spectral inequality

$$
(L f, f) \leq\left(\alpha_{0}-1\right)(\nu(I-\tilde{P}) f,(I-\tilde{P}) f), \quad f \in L_{(1+|p|)^{3} \frac{P}{1+P}}^{2}
$$

From here, (17) follows by (16).
3. The Milne problem. This section gives the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Let

$$
\tilde{f}=f-\frac{\mathcal{E}}{\gamma} p_{x}(1+P), \quad \tilde{f}_{0}(p)=f_{0}(p)-\frac{\mathcal{E}}{\gamma} p_{x}(1+P) .
$$

Solving the Milne problem (7)-(8)-(9) for the unknown $f$ is equivalent to solving

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{x} \partial_{x} \tilde{f}=L \tilde{f}, \quad x>0, \quad p_{x} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad p_{r} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}  \tag{18}\\
\tilde{f}(0, p)=\tilde{f}_{0}(p), \quad p_{x}>0  \tag{19}\\
\int p_{x}|p|^{2} \tilde{f}(x, p) P(p) d p=0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \tag{20}
\end{gather*}
$$

for the unknown $\tilde{f}$. We first study the behaviour of a solution $\tilde{f}$ to the Milne problem (18)-(19)-(20), when $x \rightarrow+\infty$.

Set

$$
\tilde{f}(x, p)=\left(a(x)|p|^{2}+\tilde{b}(x) p_{x}\right)(1+P)+w(x, p)
$$

with

$$
\int p_{x} w P d p=\int|p|^{2} w P d p=0
$$

an orthogonal decomposition of $\tilde{f}$. Denote by

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(x)=\frac{1}{2} \int p_{x} \tilde{f}^{2}(x, p) \frac{P}{1+P} d p \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

the linearized entropy flux of $\tilde{f}$. It holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(0) \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{p_{x}>0} p_{x} \tilde{f}_{0}^{2}(p) \frac{P}{1+P} d p \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (20)

$$
\begin{aligned}
W(x)= & \frac{1}{2} \int p_{x} \tilde{f}^{2}(x, p) \frac{P}{1+P} d p-\frac{1}{\gamma} \int p_{x}^{2} \tilde{f}(x, p) P(p) d p \int p_{x}|p|^{2} \tilde{f}(x, p) P(p) d p \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \int p_{x} w^{2}(x, p) \frac{P}{1+P} d p+a \int p_{x}|p|^{2} w P d p+\tilde{b} \int p_{x}^{2} w P d p+a \tilde{b} \gamma \\
& -\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\int p_{x}^{2} w P d p+a \gamma\right)\left(\int p_{x}|p|^{2} w P d p+\tilde{b} \gamma\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(x)=\frac{1}{2} \int p_{x} w^{2}(x, p) \frac{P}{1+P} d p-\frac{1}{\gamma} \int p_{x}^{2} w P d p \int p_{x}|p|^{2} w P d p \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

This differs from ([BCN]), where the linearized entropy flux of the solution is equal to the linearized entropy flux of its non-hydrodynamic component.The expression (23) for $W$ in terms of $w$ is important in the proof.

Multiplying (18) by $\tilde{f} \frac{P}{1+P}$, integrating on $(0, X) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\left(\right.$resp. $\left.\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$, and using (17), gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(X)+\nu_{0} \int_{0}^{X} \int(1+|p|)^{3} w^{2}(x, p) \frac{P}{1+P} d p d x \leq W(0), \quad X>0 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{\prime}(x)+\nu_{0} \int(1+|p|)^{3} w^{2}(x, p) \frac{P}{1+P} d p \leq 0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\tilde{f} \in D$, it holds that $W \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$. Then by (24) and (23), $W \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$. By (25), $W$ is a non-increasing function. Hence it tends to zero, when $x$ tends to $+\infty$ and is a nonnegative function. Let $\eta \in] 0, c_{1}\left[\right.$. Multiply (25) by $e^{2 \eta x}$, so that

$$
\left(W(x) e^{2 \eta x}\right)^{\prime}-2 \eta W(x) e^{2 \eta x}+\nu_{0} e^{2 \eta x} \int(1+|p|)^{3} w^{2}(x, p) \frac{P}{1+P} d p \leq 0
$$

By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality,

$$
\left.\left|\int p_{x}^{2} w(x, p) P d p \int p_{x}\right| p\right|^{2} w(x, p) P d p \left\lvert\, \leq \frac{\gamma c_{3}}{2} \int w^{2}(x, p) \frac{P}{1+P} d p\right.
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(W(x) e^{2 \eta x}\right)^{\prime}+e^{2 \eta x} \int\left(\nu_{0}(1+|p|)^{3}-\eta\left(p_{x}+c_{3}\right)\right) w^{2}(x, p) \frac{P}{1+P} d p \leq 0, \quad x \geq 0 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the definition (12) of $c_{1}$, the nonnegativity of $W$ and (22), it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{2 \eta x} \int(1+|p|)^{3} w^{2}(x, p) \frac{P}{1+P} d p d x \leq c \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $c$. Moreover, by (26) and (25),

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq W(x) \leq W(0) e^{-2 \eta x} \leq c e^{-2 \eta x}, \quad x \geq 0 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

(27) implies that $\tilde{f}(x, \cdot)$ converges to a hydrodynamic state when $x \rightarrow+\infty$. In order to prove the exponential point-wise decay of $\int(1+|p|)^{3} w^{2}(x, p) \frac{P}{1+P} d p$ in (11), let $0<Y<X$ be given and introduce a smooth cutoff function $\Phi(x)$ such that

$$
\Phi(x)=0, x \in\left[0, \frac{Y}{2}[\cup] X+1,+\infty[, \quad \Phi(x)=1, x \in[Y, X]\right.
$$

Denote by $\varphi(x)=e^{\eta x} \Phi(x)$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{x} \partial_{x}^{2}(\varphi \tilde{f})=L\left(\partial_{x}(\varphi \tilde{f})\right)+\varphi^{\prime} L w+p_{x} \varphi^{\prime \prime} \tilde{f} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiply (29) by $\partial_{x}(\varphi \tilde{f}) \frac{P}{1+P}$, integrate over $\mathbb{R}_{p_{x}} \times \mathbb{R}_{p_{r}}^{+}$and use (17). Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d x} \int p_{x}\left(\partial_{x}(\varphi \tilde{f})\right)^{2} \frac{P}{1+P} d p+\nu_{0} \int(1+|p|)^{3}\left(\partial_{x}(\varphi w)\right)^{2} \frac{P}{1+P} d p \\
& \leq \int \partial_{x}(\varphi \tilde{f})\left(\varphi^{\prime} L w+p_{x} \varphi^{\prime \prime} \tilde{f}\right) \frac{P}{1+P} d p \\
& =\varphi^{\prime} \int \partial_{x}(\varphi w) L w \frac{P}{1+P} d p+\varphi \varphi^{\prime \prime} \int p_{x} \tilde{f} \partial_{x} \tilde{f} \frac{P}{1+P} d p+\varphi^{\prime} \varphi^{\prime \prime} \int p_{x} \tilde{f}^{2} \frac{P}{1+P} d p
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d x} \int p_{x}\left(\partial_{x}(\varphi \tilde{f})\right)^{2} \frac{P}{1+P} d p+\nu_{0} \int(1+|p|)^{3}\left(\partial_{x}(\varphi w)\right)^{2} \frac{P}{1+P} d p \\
& \quad \leq \varphi^{\prime} \int \partial_{x}(\varphi w) L w \frac{P}{1+P} d p+\left(\varphi \varphi^{\prime \prime} W\right)^{\prime}+\left(\varphi^{\prime} \varphi^{\prime \prime}-\varphi \varphi^{(3)}\right) W
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrate the last inequality on $[0,+\infty[$, so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nu_{0} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \int(1+|p|)^{3}\left(\partial_{x}(\varphi w)\right)^{2} \frac{P}{1+P} d p d x \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{+\infty} \varphi^{\prime}(x) \int \partial_{x}(\varphi w) L w \frac{P}{1+P} d p d x+\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left(\varphi^{\prime} \varphi^{\prime \prime}-\varphi \varphi^{(3)}\right) W(x) d x \\
& \leq\left\|\varphi^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \int(1+|p|)^{3}\left(\partial_{x}(\varphi w)\right)^{2} \frac{P}{1+P} d p d x \\
& +\frac{1}{2 \alpha} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \int \frac{1}{(1+|p|)^{3}}(L w)^{2} \frac{P}{1+P} d p d x \\
& +\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left(\varphi^{\prime} \varphi^{\prime \prime}-\varphi \varphi^{(3)}\right) W(x) d x \\
& \leq\left\|\varphi^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \int(1+|p|)^{3}\left(\partial_{x}(\varphi w)\right)^{2} \frac{P}{1+P} d p d x \\
& +\frac{c}{2 \alpha} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \int(1+|p|)^{3} w^{2} \frac{P}{1+P} d p d x \\
& +\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left(\varphi^{\prime} \varphi^{\prime \prime}-\varphi \varphi^{(3)}\right) W(x) d x, \quad \alpha>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Choose $\alpha<\frac{\nu_{0}}{\left\|\varphi^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}^{2}}$. Use (27), the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, and the exponential decay of $W$ expressed in (28) in the W-term. It then holds

$$
\int_{0}^{+\infty} \int(1+|p|)^{3}\left(\partial_{x}(\varphi w)\right)^{2} \frac{P}{1+P} d p d x \leq c
$$

for some positive constant $c$. Finally,

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{2 \eta X} \int(1+|p|)^{3} w^{2}(X, p) \frac{P}{1+P} d p \\
= & 2 \int_{0}^{X} \int(1+|p|)^{3}\left(\partial_{x}(\varphi w)\right)^{2} \frac{P}{1+P} d p d x \leq c, X>0 . \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

The exponential decay of $(a, \tilde{b})$ to some limit $\left(a_{\infty}, \tilde{b}_{\infty}\right)$ when $x$ tends to $+\infty$, can be proved as follows. The solution $\tilde{f}(x, p)=\left(a(x)|p|^{2}+\tilde{b}(x) p_{x}\right)(1+P)+w(x, p)$ is solution to (18) if and only if

$$
\left(a^{\prime} p_{x}|p|^{2}+\tilde{b}^{\prime} p_{x}^{2}\right)(1+P)+p_{x} \partial_{x} w=L w
$$

Multiply the former equation by $p_{x} P$ (resp. $|p|^{2} P$ ) and integrate with respect to $p$, so that

$$
\left(a+\frac{1}{\gamma} \int p_{x}^{2} w(\cdot, p) P d p\right)^{\prime}=\left(\tilde{b}+\frac{1}{\gamma} \int p_{x}|p|^{2} w(\cdot, p) P d p\right)^{\prime}=0
$$

Denote by

$$
a_{\infty}:=a(0)+\frac{1}{\gamma} \int p_{x}^{2} w(0, p) P d p, \quad \tilde{b}_{\infty}:=\tilde{b}(0)+\frac{1}{\gamma} \int p_{x}|p|^{2} w(0, p) P d p
$$

By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and (30),

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|a(x)-a_{\infty}\right|=\frac{1}{\gamma}\left|\int p_{x}^{2} w(x, p) P d p\right| \leq c\left(\int(1+|p|)^{3} w^{2}(x, p) \frac{P}{1+P} d p\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq c e^{-\eta x} \\
\left|\tilde{b}(x)-\tilde{b}_{\infty}\right|=\left.\frac{1}{\gamma}\left|\int p_{x}\right| p\right|^{2} w(x, p) P d p \left\lvert\, \leq c\left(\int(1+|p|)^{3} w^{2}(x, p) \frac{P}{1+P} d p\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq c e^{-\eta x}\right. \tag{31}
\end{gather*}
$$

By (28)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \int p_{x} \tilde{f}^{2}(x, p) \frac{P}{1+P} d p=0 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (30)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \int(1+|p|)^{3} w^{2}(x, p) \frac{P}{1+P} d p=0 \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the decomposition $\tilde{f}=\left(a|p|^{2}+\tilde{b} p_{x}\right)(1+P)+w$ of $\tilde{f}$ into its hydrodynamic and non hydrodynamic components, and setting

$$
a_{\infty}=\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} a(x), \quad \tilde{b}_{\infty}=\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \tilde{b}(x)
$$

it follows from (32)-(33) that

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \int p_{x}\left(\left(a(x)|p|^{2}+\tilde{b}(x) p_{x}\right)(1+P)\right)^{2} \frac{P}{1+P} d p=0, \quad \text { i.e. } \quad a_{\infty} \tilde{b}_{\infty}=0
$$

Below this will be improved to (10) $\tilde{b}_{\infty}=0$.
But first we prove the existence of a solution $\tilde{f} \in D$ to the Milne problem (18)-(19)-(20). It will be obtained as the limit when $l \rightarrow+\infty$ of the sequence $\left(\tilde{f}_{l}\right)_{l \in \mathbb{N}^{*}}$
of solutions to the stationary linearized equation on the slab $[0, l]$ with specular reflection at $x=l$, i.e.

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{x} \partial_{x} \tilde{f}_{l}=L \tilde{f}_{l}, \quad x \in[0, l], \quad p_{x} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad p_{r} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}  \tag{34}\\
\tilde{f}_{l}(0, p)=\tilde{f}_{0}(p), \quad p_{x}>0  \tag{35}\\
\tilde{f}_{l}\left(l, p_{x}, p_{r}\right)=\tilde{f}_{l}\left(l,-p_{x}, p_{r}\right), \quad p_{x}<0 . \tag{36}
\end{gather*}
$$

Switch from given in-data and no inhomogeneous term, to zero indata and an inhomogeneous term. Let $\epsilon>0$ be given. Let the subspace $D(A)$ of $L_{p_{r}(1+|p|)^{3} \frac{P}{1+P}}^{2}((0, l) \times$ $\left.\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$be defined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
D(A)=\left\{g \in L_{p_{r}(1+|p|)^{3} \frac{P}{1+P}}^{2}\left((0, l) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right) ; p_{x} \partial_{x} g \in L_{p_{r}(1+|p|)^{3} \frac{P}{1+P}}^{2}((0, l) \times \mathbb{R} \times\right. \\
\left.\left.\mathbb{R}^{+}\right), g(0, p)=0, p_{x}>0, \quad g\left(l, p_{x}, p_{r}\right)=g\left(l,-p_{x}, p_{r}\right), p_{x}<0\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

The operator $A$ defined on $D(A)$ by

$$
(A g)(x, p)=\epsilon g(x, p)+p_{x} \partial_{x} g(x, p)
$$

is $m$-accretive since $I-\frac{1}{2 \epsilon} A$ is bijective. Indeed, for any $f \in L_{p_{r}(1+|p|)^{3} \frac{P}{1+P}}^{2}((0, l) \times$ $\left.\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$, there is a unique $g \in D(A)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I-\frac{1}{2 \epsilon} A\right) g=f \quad \text { i.e. } \quad \frac{1}{2} g-\frac{1}{2 \epsilon} p_{x} \partial_{x} g=f . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $g$ is explicitly given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g(x, p)=-\frac{2 \epsilon}{p_{x}} \int_{0}^{x} f(y, p) e^{\epsilon \frac{x-y}{p_{x}}} d y, \quad p_{x}>0 \\
& g(x, p)=\frac{2 \epsilon}{p_{x}}\left(\int_{0}^{l} f(y, p) e^{\epsilon \frac{x+y-2 l}{p_{x}}} d y+\int_{x}^{l} f(y, p) e^{\epsilon \frac{x-y}{p_{x}}} d y\right), \quad p_{x}<0
\end{aligned}
$$

It belongs to $L_{p_{r}(1+|p|)^{3} \frac{P}{1+P}}^{2}\left((0, l) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$since multiplying (37) by $2 g(1+|p|)^{3} \frac{P}{1+P}$, then integrating on $[0, l] \times \mathbb{R}^{3}$ implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int g^{2}(x, p)(1+|p|)^{3} \frac{P}{1+P} d x d p & +\frac{1}{2 \epsilon} \int_{p_{x}<0}\left|p_{x}\right|(1+|p|)^{3} g^{2}(0, p) \frac{P}{1+P} d p \\
& =2 \int f(x, p) g(x, p)(1+|p|)^{3} \frac{P}{1+P} d p \\
& \leq \int f^{2}(x, p)(1+|p|)^{3} \frac{P}{1+P} d x d p \\
& +\int g^{2}(x, p)(1+|p|)^{3} \frac{P}{1+P} d x d p
\end{aligned}
$$

It then follows from (37) that $p_{x} \partial_{x} g \in L_{p_{r}(1+|p|)^{3} \frac{P}{1+P}}^{2}\left((0, l) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$.
Since $-L$ is an accretive operator, from here by an $m$-accretive study of $A-L$, there exists a solution

$$
\tilde{f}_{\epsilon} \in L_{p_{r}(1+|p|)^{3} \frac{P}{1+P}}^{2}\left((0, l) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)
$$

to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \epsilon \tilde{f}_{\epsilon}+p_{x} \partial_{x} \tilde{f}_{\epsilon}=L \tilde{f}_{\epsilon}, \quad x>0, \quad p_{x} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad p_{r} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}  \tag{38}\\
& \quad \tilde{f}_{\epsilon}(0, p)=\tilde{f}_{0}(p), \quad p_{x}>0 \\
& \tilde{f}_{\epsilon}\left(l, p_{x}, p_{r}\right)=\tilde{f}_{\epsilon}\left(l,-p_{x}, p_{r}\right), \quad p_{x}<0
\end{align*}
$$

In order to prove that there is a converging subsequence of $\left(\tilde{f}_{\epsilon}\right)$ when $\epsilon$ tends to zero, split $\tilde{f}_{\epsilon}$ into its hydrodynamic and non-hydrodynamic parts as

$$
\tilde{f}_{\epsilon}(x, p)=\left(a_{\epsilon}(x)|p|^{2}+b_{\epsilon}(x) p_{x}\right)(1+P)+w_{\epsilon}(x, p)
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int p_{x} w_{\epsilon} P d p=\int|p|^{2} w_{\epsilon} P d p=0 \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiply (38) by $\tilde{f}_{\epsilon} \frac{P}{1+P}$, integrate w.r.t. $(x, p) \in[0, l] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}$and use the spectral inequality (17), so that $\left(w_{\epsilon}\right)$ is uniformly bounded in $L_{p_{r}(1+|p|)^{3} \frac{P}{1+P}}^{2}\left([0, l] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$. Notice that the boundary term at $l$ vanishes. And so, up to a subsequence, $\left(w_{\epsilon}\right)$ weakly converges in $\left.L_{p_{r}(1+|p|)^{3} \frac{P}{1+P}}^{2}\left([0, l] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)\right)$to some function $w$. Moreover, the same argument as for getting (30) can be used here, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{2 \eta x} \int(1+|p|)^{3} w_{\epsilon}^{2}(x, p) \frac{P}{1+P} d p \leq c, \quad x \in[0, l] \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expressing $\int_{p_{x}>0} p_{x} \tilde{f}_{\epsilon}(0, p) \frac{P}{1+P} d p$ (resp. $\int_{p_{x}>0} p_{x}|p|^{2} \tilde{f}_{\epsilon}(0, p) \frac{P}{1+P} d p$ ) in terms of $a_{\epsilon}(0), b_{\epsilon}(0)$ and $w_{\epsilon}(0, \cdot)$ leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{\epsilon}(0) \int_{p_{x}>0} p_{x}|p|^{2} P d p+b_{\epsilon}(0) \int_{p_{x}>0} p_{x}^{2} P d p \\
& =\int_{p_{x}>0} p_{x} \tilde{f}_{0}(p) \frac{P}{1+P} d p-\int_{p_{x}>0} p_{x} w_{\epsilon}(0, p) \frac{P}{1+P} d p
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{\epsilon}(0) \int_{p_{x}>0} p_{x}|p|^{4} P d p+b_{\epsilon}(0) \int_{p_{x}>0} p_{x}^{2}|p|^{2} P d p \\
& =\int_{p_{x}>0} p_{x}|p|^{2} \tilde{f}_{0}(p) \frac{P}{1+P} d p-\int_{p_{x}>0} p_{x}|p|^{2} w_{\epsilon}(0, p) \frac{P}{1+P} d p
\end{aligned}
$$

By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and (40) taken at $x=0$, it follows that

$$
\int_{p_{x}>0} p_{x} w_{\epsilon}(0, p) \frac{P}{1+P} d p \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{p_{x}>0} p_{x}|p|^{2} w_{\epsilon}(0, p) \frac{P}{1+P} d p
$$

are bounded. Consequently, $\left(a_{\epsilon}(0), b_{\epsilon}(0)\right)$ is uniformly bounded with respect to $\epsilon$. Moreover, $f_{\epsilon}$ solves (38) if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon\left(\left(a_{\epsilon}|p|^{2}+b_{\epsilon} p_{x}\right)(1+P)+w_{\epsilon}\right)+\left(a_{\epsilon}^{\prime} p_{x}|p|^{2}+b_{\epsilon}^{\prime} p_{x}^{2}\right)(1+P)+p_{x} \partial_{x} w_{\epsilon}=L w_{\epsilon} . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying the previous equation by $p_{x} P\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\left(p^{2}+n\right) P\right)$ and integrating w.r.t. $p$, implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \epsilon b_{\epsilon} \int p_{x}^{2} P(1+P) d p+\gamma a_{\epsilon}^{\prime}+\left(\int p_{x}^{2} w_{\epsilon} P d p\right)^{\prime}=0 \\
& \epsilon a_{\epsilon} \int|p|^{4} P(1+P) d p+\gamma b_{\epsilon}^{\prime}+\left(\int p_{x}|p|^{2} w_{\epsilon} P d p\right)^{\prime}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, denoting by

$$
\alpha=\sqrt{\int p_{x}^{2} P(1+P) d p} \quad \text { and } \quad \beta=\sqrt{\int|p|^{4} P(1+P) d p}
$$

it holds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma a_{\epsilon}(x) & =-\int p_{x}^{2} w_{\epsilon}(x, p) P d p+\left(\gamma a_{\epsilon}(0)+\int p_{x}^{2} w_{\epsilon}(0, p) P d p\right) e^{\frac{\alpha \beta \epsilon}{\gamma} x} \\
& +\epsilon \int_{0}^{x}\left(\int p_{x} \frac{\alpha^{2}}{\gamma}\left(p^{2}+n\right) w_{\epsilon}(y, p) P d p\right) e^{\frac{\alpha \beta \epsilon}{\gamma}(x-y)} d y, \quad x \in[0, l], \\
\gamma b_{\epsilon}(x) & =-\int p_{x}|p|^{2} w_{\epsilon}(x, p) P d p+\left(\gamma b_{\epsilon}(0)+\int p_{x}|p|^{2} w_{\epsilon}(0, p) P d p\right) e^{-\frac{\alpha \beta \epsilon}{\gamma} x} \\
& +\epsilon \int_{0}^{x}\left(\int \frac{\beta^{2}}{\gamma} p_{x}^{2} w_{\epsilon}(y, p) P d p\right) e^{-\frac{\alpha \beta \epsilon}{\gamma}(x-y)} d y, \quad x \in[0, l] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Together with the bounds of $\left(a_{\epsilon}(0), b_{\epsilon}(0)\right)$ and (40), this implies that ( $a_{\epsilon}$ ) (resp. $\left.\left(b_{\epsilon}\right)\right)$ is bounded in $L^{2}$. And so, up to a subsequence, $\tilde{f}_{\epsilon}$ weakly converges in $L_{p_{r}(1+|p|)^{3} \frac{P}{1+P}}^{2}\left((0, l) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$to a solution $\tilde{f}_{l}$ of $(34)-(35)-(36)$.

Similar arguments can be used in order to prove that up to a subsequence, $\left(\tilde{f}_{l}\right)$ converges to a solution $\tilde{f}$ of the Milne problem (18)-(19)-(20) when $l$ tends to $+\infty$. Indeed, if $\tilde{f}_{l}$ admits the decomposition

$$
\tilde{f}_{l}(x, p)=\left(a_{l}(x)|p|^{2}+b_{l}(x) p_{x}\right)(1+P)+w_{l}(x, p)
$$

with

$$
\int p_{x} w_{l} P d p=\int|p|^{2} w_{l} P d p=0
$$

then the sequence $\left(w_{l}\right)$ is bounded in $L_{p_{r}(1+|p|)^{3} \frac{P}{1+P}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$and pointwise in $x$ as in (40). And so, up to a subsequence, $\left(w_{l}\right)$ converges weakly in $L_{p_{r}(1+|p|)^{3} \frac{P}{1+P}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$and also weak star in $x$, weak in $p$ in $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} ;\right.$ $\left.L_{p_{r}(1+|p|)^{3}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)\right)$. The sequences $\left(a_{l}\right)$ and $\left(b_{l}\right)$ satisfy

$$
\left(\gamma a_{l}+\int p_{x}^{2} w_{l} P d p\right)^{\prime}=0, \quad\left(\gamma b_{l}+\int p_{x}|p|^{2} w_{l} P d p\right)^{\prime}=0
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma a_{l}(x) & =-\int p_{x}^{2} w_{l}(x, p) P d p+\gamma a_{l}(0)+\int p_{x}^{2} w_{l}(0, p) P d p \\
\gamma b_{l}(x) & =-\int p_{x}|p|^{2} w_{l}(x, p) P d p+\gamma b_{l}(0)+\int p_{x}|p|^{2} w_{l}(0, p) P d p
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that the sequences $\left(a_{l}\right)$ and $\left(b_{l}\right)$ are uniformly bounded on $\mathbb{R}^{+}$, and so, up to a subsequence, converge weak star in $x$. The limit of $\left(\tilde{f}_{l}\right)$ is a weak solution to the problem. This weak solution belongs to $D$.

We can now prove that $\tilde{b}_{\infty}=0$. For this we notice that the discussion of this section up to (28) included, also holds for $\tilde{f}_{l}, W$ being nonnegative on $[0, l]$ because it is non increasing and vanishes at $l$. The discussion from (29) leading up to (32) is valid as well. But for $f_{l}$ it holds that $\tilde{b}_{l}(l)=0$, and so (31) taken at $x=l$ leads to $\left|\tilde{b}_{l \infty}\right| \leq c e^{-\eta l}$.

Take $\beta \geq \alpha \gg 0$. Using (31) again implies that for all $l>\beta$,

$$
\left|\tilde{b}_{l}(x)\right| \leq\left|\tilde{b}_{l}(x)-\tilde{b}_{l \infty}\right|+c e^{-\eta \alpha} \leq 2 c e^{-\eta \alpha}, \quad x \geq \alpha
$$

It follows that

$$
|\tilde{b}(x)| \leq 2 c e^{-\eta \alpha}, \quad x \geq \alpha
$$

Hence

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{b}(x)=0=\tilde{b}_{\infty}
$$

The uniqueness of the solution of the Milne problem (18)-(19)-(20) can be proven as follows. Let $\tilde{f} \in D$ be solution to the Milne problem (18)-(19)-(20) with zero indatum at $x=0$ and zero energy flow. Let

$$
\tilde{f}(x, p)=a(x)|p|^{2}(1+P)+b(x) p_{x}(1+P)+w(x, p)
$$

be its orthogonal decomposition. By (28)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \int p_{x} \tilde{f}^{2}(x, p) \frac{P}{1+P} d p=0 \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiply the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{x} \partial_{x} \tilde{f}=L \tilde{f} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

by $\tilde{f} \frac{P}{1+P}$, integrate over $] 0,+\infty\left[\times \mathbb{R}^{3}\right.$ and use the spectral inequality. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_{p_{x}<0}\left|p_{x}\right| \tilde{f}^{2}(0, p) \frac{P}{1+P} d p+\nu_{0} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \int w^{2}(x, p) \frac{P}{1+P} d p d x \\
& \leq-\frac{1}{2} \lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \int p_{x} \tilde{f}^{2}(x, p) \frac{P}{1+P} d p \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

And so,

$$
\tilde{f}(0, \cdot)=0, \quad w(\cdot, \cdot)=0 .
$$

Equation (43) reduces to

$$
\partial_{x} \tilde{f}=0,
$$

so that together with $\tilde{f}(0, \cdot)=0$, it holds that $a(\cdot)=b(\cdot)=0$. Hence $\tilde{f}$ is identically zero.
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